

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 JS-6  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ARTURO MICHAEL AMEZCUA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA,  
Defendant.

Case No. 8:22-cv-01663-JWH-JDE  
**JUDGMENT**

1 Pursuant to the “Order Regarding Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss [ECF  
2 No. 12]; Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel Arbitration [ECF No. 18]; and Plaintiff’s  
3 Motion to Amend Complaint [ECF No. 20]” filed concurrently herewith, and in  
4 accordance with Rules 12(b)(6) and 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

5 It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

6 1. This Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over the above-  
7 captioned action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.


8 2. The operative pleading is the Complaint [ECF No. 1] of Plaintiff  
9 Arturo Michael Amezcuia.

10 3. Defendant Goldman Sachs Bank USA shall have **JUDGMENT** in  
11 its **FAVOR**, and **AGAINST** Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall take nothing by way of his  
12 Complaint. This action is **DISMISSED**.

13 4. Other than potential post-judgment remedies (including those  
14 provided in Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure), to the extent  
15 that any party requests any other form of relief, such request is **DENIED**.

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17  
18 Dated: January 22, 2024

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
  
John W. Holcomb  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE